

From: [McKenna, James \(Jim\)](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); rjw@nwnatural.com; ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us
Cc: [Carl Stivers](#); [Valerie Oster](#)
Subject: RE: Contaminant Fate and Transport Models
Date: 04/28/2006 11:15 AM

Eric,

The proposed agenda looks good. I would suggest one modification: under the first bullet in the morning we should start with "Discussion of objectives and uses..." and then follow-up with "Discuss types of models under consideration..."

Thanks, Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2006 1:26 PM
To: McKenna, James (Jim); rjw@nwnatural.com; ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us
Cc: Carl Stivers
Subject: Contaminant Fate and Transport Models

Attached is a draft extended outline and presentation outlining the proposed contaminant fate and transport modeling being pursued by Bruce Hope on behalf of EPA. Please distribute appropriately in advance of next Tuesday's meeting to discuss modeling approaches.

The draft agenda that was developed Tuesday is provided below.

Thanks, Eric

A. Modeling discussions - May 2 - Agenda and Objectives

Morning Discussion

- Discuss types of models under consideration. Discussion of how they are integrated. Discussion of objectives and uses - Hydrodynamic, sediment transport, fate, FW, BSAFs. (Bruce to present generally on objectives of F&T and FW)
- Create bullets/drawing for what the framework is; how the models are integrated. Consider this as a draft.

Afternoon Discussion - Hydrodynamic, Sediment, Fate/Transport, FW, BSAFs

- Presentations by Bruce & Carl
- For each model discuss and then summarize -
 - \$ utility of the model at the three different scales
- Data needs/availability
 - \$ do we have agreement on the path forward (what model/approach to use)
 - \$ identification of areas of agreement and disagreement
- Next Steps